UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/634,910 | 08/06/2003 | Woon-Song Baik | K-0528 | 7365 |
| 34610 KED & ASSOC | 7590 01/09/200 CIATES, LLP | 9 | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. Box 221200 Chantilly, VA 20153-1200 | | | LEVITAN, DMITRY | |
| Chantilly, VA 2 | 30155-1200 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2416 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/09/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/634,910 | BAIK, WOON-SONG | ONG | | | |
| merview cummary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Dmitry Levitan | 2416 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Dmitry Levitan</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Samuel W. Ntiros</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 07 January 2009. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2 | r)∏ applicant's representative | ·] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 6,980,512 and US Pub 2002/0032800</u> . | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner explained his position on clarity of Fig. 7 and corresponding portions of the specification and suggested filing CIP of the Application to resolve the problem. Applicant's Attorney proposed filing a better translation of the specification to explain Fig. 7 connections.</u> | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached | opy of the amendments that w | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet. | last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V | been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS | LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 4(7)00 | | | | | |
| /Dmitry Levitan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416 | 1/7/09 | | | | | |